

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,346	01/28/2002	Beverly Richard	1507		
7590 01/02/2004			EXAMINER		
KENNETH D. BAUGH			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
2413 Blodgett Houston, TX 77004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, e	Manual Control of the			$\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}$	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/057,346	RICHARD, BEVER	RLY	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William P. Watkins III	1772		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	dress	
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replective property of the maximum statutory period of the property of the prop	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	mmunication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2003.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>			merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminion. Note the disastred office			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a cla	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application of the certified copies not received in the certification of the specification of the certification of th	ion No ed in this National sed. e) (to a provisional rin an Application served.	application) Data Sheet. a specific	
2) 🔲 Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO		

Application/Control Number: 10/057,346

Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

1. The use of the trademark Betadine has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

"Bentadine" should be capitalized in the specification.

2. Claim 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Paper-like" in claims 8 and 9 is indefinite because it is not clear from the specification what qualities of paper the sheet must have to be paper-like. The trademark Betadine is improper in claim 12 as it indicates a source of goods and not a specific material. The 112 rejections of claims 2, 3 and 6 are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McAtee et al. (U.S. 6,280,757).

The reference teaches two outer layers, which maybe made of paper fibers and an internal patterned adhesive layer with a cleaning substance in the pattern of the adhesive (Figures 4 and 5 and the abstract). More than two plies may also be used with any or all of the plies being apertured (col. 6, lines 50-60). The cleaning substance may be added onto or impregnated into any or all of the surfaces of the different layers, either before or after they are joined (col. 30, lines 45-60). A biocide may be an optional ingredient (col. 30, lines 10-30). The instant invention claims a three layer structure with an antibacterial agent. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a bacterial agent from the options given in the reference in order to enhance they hygiene of the tissue.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,346 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

5. Applicant's arguments filed 14 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner apologizes for the failure to explicitly address claims 7-12 in the first office action. The rejections of record were intended to have been applied to these claims and have been formally applied to these claims as noted above. rejection above has been modified to more clearly state range of structure taught by the reference. Regarding claim 1 applicant argues that there is no teaching of an upper planar member in McAtee et al. The examiner disagrees. Figure 5A shows an upper member that is substantially planar before the wetting of the cleaning article. Applicant also argues that there is no teaching of an intermediate apertured absorbent article and no teaching of an antibacterial layer on top of the intermediate layer and no teaching of a bottom layer. As noted in the modified rejection, the may be more than two paper fiber layers with any of the layers being apertured and the lathering soap may be put onto any of the layers. This broad teaching clearly teaches and allows the option of a three layer laminate with the middle layer being apertured and the soap layer being on the intermediate layer (read on by instant claim 1), as well as the preferred embodiment of McAtee of a two layer laminate (read on

Application/Control Number: 10/057,346

Art Unit: 1772

by instant claim 7) with the soap being impregnated in or forming a layer on the top surface of the optional intermediate or bottom layer. Regarding claims 2 and 3 applicant argues that there is no thin ply with apertures. The examiner takes all of the layers of McAtee as being considered "thin" and as noted above any of them may be apertured. Regarding claim 4, 5 and 6 the lathering layer or impregnate of McAtee is moisture activated (col. 4, lines 10-15) and can be considered as an antibacterial agent as are all soaps since they function in cleaning to remove bacteria. There is a further teaching, as noted in the above rejection, of a biocide, which can be considered as a further antibacterial agent. It is simply not clear to the examiner how the structure and function of the various possible embodiments of McAtee et al. differ from the instant claimed structure and function as argued by applicant.

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/057,346 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

William Malting

WW/ww December 29, 2003 WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER